

DASHNAK FEDAIS: HEROES OR CALAMITIES OF THE ARMENIAN PEOPLE?

AVİM

Analysis No : 2019 / 29

20.12.2019

The Critical Corner, an online publication of *the Armenian News Network/Groong* featured a three-part article by Eddie Arnavoudian, an Armenian-American author, who gave a highly romanticized and problematic account of the Dashnaktsutyuns armed wing, the so-called *Fedayeen*.[1] Derived from an Arabic word, in the Dashnak literature the term means one who sacrifices himself for the cause of the party and people. According to Arnavoudian, the Dashnak Fedais were the armed Armenian freedom fighters and deserve to be remembered well since they fought frequently epic battle ☀ to protect Armenian village communities. Moreover, they conducted many operations targeting supposedly cruel Kurds, Turkish and Russian officials to avenge the victimization of the innocent Armenian peasants and readily sacrificed themselves for the well-being and sacred cause of their people.

Arnavoudian depicts these armed Dashnaks as Robin Hood-type selfless heroes that would block roads, rob the rich and many a government postal caravan too and then generously distribute takings both to Armenian and Turkish villagers. Arnavoudian places a special emphasis on Andranik Ozanian, so-called Andranik Pasha, as a legendary and gallant hero. Arnavoudians descriptions are entirely based on self-serving and utterly unreliable Dashnak memoirs and other Dashnak sources in which the Dashnaks attempted to project themselves as the ideal and unparalleled heroes. In fact, the so-called General Andranik was dubbed as a famous terrorist even by the Russian sources. There was no question that Andranik was a dark figure, involved in countless massacres of Muslim civilians in Eastern Anatolia since 1890s until the end of the Great War in 1918. Later, he carried on his policy of combining mass murder and ethnic cleansing in Azerbaijan in order to carve out an Armenian state from the territories in which the Azerbaijani Turks constituted a clear majority.[2]

Arnavoudian further argues that while other political groups pinned their hopes on outside intervention from the European Powers, the Dashnak Fedais insisted on the need for self-

reliance among the Armenian population and never coveted outside intervention. Moreover, Arnavoudian argues, while the Dashnaktsutiuns political wing favored reconciliation and reaching an understanding with the Young Turks and, in order to do so, abandoned the program of arming the Armenian population, the armed wing consisting of Fedais heroically resisted any rapprochement with the Young Turks and insisted on the program of armament.

Unfortunately, the historical record does not support Arnavoudians ludicrous depictions of these self-serving armed terrorists and contradicts the apocryphal version of events narrated by him. Not only did these self-proclaimed heroes exploit the civilian Armenian population morally and materially, but they also targeted and killed countless Armenians who did not adopt their line. Between 1902 and 1905, for instance, there were two Armenian victims assassinated by these Armenian terrorists for every one non-Armenian. [3] In other words, this body of self-proclaimed defenders of the Armenian nation killed Armenians more than they killed others during certain periods of time.

Moreover, it is an indisputable fact that the Dashnak Fedai terrorists carried out a number of provocative and violent acts with the intention of bringing intervention of the European Powers, Arnavoudians desperate denials notwithstanding. Throughout the 1890s, the obvious Dashnak goal was to invite foreign intervention by engaging in countless acts of terrorism which, they hoped, would provoke conflicts between the Armenian minority and the Muslim majority in Anatolia. When the Dashnaks carried out their notorious raid on the Ottoman Bank in 1896, tellingly, they prepared a list of demands and presented them to European embassies in the Istanbul, for the obvious reason of getting the European Powers involved in the Armenian Question.[4] Similarly, Arnavoudians hero, Andranik Ozanian, himself admitted that the ARF fedais created the lie that 7000 people were massacred [in Sasun] by using a list of fake names in order to submit these to the [European] Embassies[5] with the obvious goal of provoking European intervention. But even had these well-known episodes not been documented, the official Dashnak publications never bothered to hide their motives. As Mikael Varandian, a long time Dashnak member and the partys official historian noted:

> The purpose of the Armenian movement has been, from the beginning, to organize as far as possible a long drawn-out fight against the Ottoman tyranny, to create in the country a continuous revolutionary state, *always having before our eyes the intervention of the third factor ☀ the European factor*.[6] [italics added for emphasis by AVİM]

Thus, contrary to Arnavoudians romanticized and ahistorical depiction of events, the Dashnak Fedais apparently carried out their violent and provocative acts with the obvious goal of bringing European intervention rather than relying on the Armenian people.

Likewise, Arnavoudians allegations over the difference between the political and armed wings of the Dashnaktsutiun concerning the Young Turks and the arming of the Armenian population are misleading. Its true that Andranik Ozanian himself did not favor reaching an understanding with the Young Turks but other fedais such as Reben Ter-Minasian or Aram Manukian were quite satisfied with it and did not complain about living in Eastern

Anatolia under the Young Turk administration. Similarly, Arnavoudians claim that the program of arming the Armenian population was abandoned after the 1908 is grossly inaccurate, as all the contemporary sources including the Dashnaks own correspondence reveals. Immediately after 1908, the local Dashnak committees in Eastern Anatolia asked the Dashnak Central Committees in Istanbul for guns as they sure that it would increase the partys prestige. Moreover, a special Dashnak Committee was buying armaments and transporting them to the interior. Simon Zavarian, a founding member of the Dashnaktsutyun, even instructed Mikael Varandian to inform the Dashnak agents responsible for purchasing arms where they could find cheaper arms.[7] The Consular Reports from Erzurum, Bitlis, Van etc. had all reported and complained about the Dashnaks extensive arming of the Armenian population.[8]

As for Arnavoudians claims that these Dashnak Fedais were readily sacrificing themselves to protect the Armenian population and to improve their life standards, the argument becomes laughable considering that almost every non-Dashnak source agree that their actions and presence actually deteriorated the conditions of the Ottoman Armenians. In Bitlis, for example, the Armenians had complained to the Russian Vice-Consul that the Armenians had received nothing but suffering and woe from these Dashnak fedais. They extorted money from the Armenian population, routinely resorting to violent beatings and threatening individuals with their lives to obtain their money.[9] Similarly the British Consul in Van observed that:

> "From what I have seen in the parts of the country I have visited I have become more convinced than ever of the baneful influence of the Taschnak Committee on the welfare of the Armenians and generally of this part of Turkey. It is impossible to overlook the fact in that in all places where there are no Armenian political organisations or where such organisations are imperfectly developed, the Armenians live in comparative harmony with the Turks and Kurds."[10]

Not only did these Dashnak fedais made life worse for the Armenian population, but they were also making financial profits by imposing mandatory sales on the peasants. The British Consul at Van noted that the Dashnaks deliberately kept the Armenian population in alarm to push forward their goals and sell weapons to villagers: They buy rifles at say £10 and force the villagers to buy from them at £20.[11] The Consul described the Taschnakist trade of smuggling [arms] from Russia and obliging the villagers to buy them as a lucrative business. Commenting on the Dashnak tactics in this regards, the Consul further observed that:

> An [Dashnak] agent arrived in a certain village and informed a villager that he must buy a Mauser pistol. The villager replied that he had no money, whereupon the agent retorted, You must sell your oxen. The wretched villager then proceeded to explain that the sowing season would soon arrive and asked how a Mauser pistol would enable him to plough his fields. For reply the agent proceeded to destroy the poor mans oxen with his pistol and then departed.[12]

Obliging villagers to buy weapons at lucratively higher prices to make financial profits, killing the peasants livestock, or beating them when they refused to oblige with the

Dashnak demands can hardly be described as self-sacrificing actions meant to protect villagers.

Likewise, the Russian Vice-Consul in Van described how the Dashnaks plundered the Armenian Church of Akhtamar, taking possession of all the valuables found in the church as well as its establishing control over its considerable incomes.[13] Rather than serving the people or providing for them, the Dashnaks seem to have only used and abused them in the service of their own selfish goals.

In view of the discussion and materials used above, it becomes quite clear that Arnavoudians idealization and worship of the Armenian Fedais is based on wishful thinking and lopsided ethnocentric views that ignore a variety of non-partisan sources which present a more balanced and critical appraisal of the Dashnaks armed wing. While inside the closed circle of the Armenian Diaspora, Arnavoudians articles might be welcomed and even actively encouraged, they cannot convince an informed and impartial reader.

As noted by an Armenian critique of the Dashnaktsutiun, the Dashnaks as an organization has degenerated so far, that it can be compared with the Italian Mafia, and the gangsters of this country [the US] ... Its hands are raised against everybody, its plottings and crimes have rocked the conscience of all decent Armenians, and have disgraced our people before the civilized world.[14]

Picture: A gang of fedais

[1] Eddie Arnavoudian, The Betrayal of the Armenian Fedayeen, *The Critical Corner*, October 28, 2019. The Betrayal of the Armenian Fedayeen -- Part II, *The Critical Corner*, November 18, 2019. The Betrayal of the Armenian Fedayeen -- Part 3, *The Critical Corner*, December 13, 2019.

[2] Pat Walsh, When T. P. OConnor Met General Andranik, 2018-07-29. The article can be accessed at the following link: https://drpatwalsh.com/2018/07/29/when-t-p-oconnor-met-general-andranik/

[3] Heath W. Lowry, Nineteenth and Twentieth Century Armenian Terrorism: Threads of Continuity, in *International Terrorism and the Drug Connection*, (Ankara: Ankara University Press, 1984), pp 71-83.

[4] Reşat Kasaba (ed.), *Cambridge History of Turkey*, Vol. 4, (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2008), p. 55.

[5] Antranig Çelebyan, *Antranik Paşa*, (İstanbul: Peri Yayınları, 2003) p.112.

[6] K. S. Papazian, *Patriotism Perverted: A discussion of the deeds and the misdeeds of the Armenian Revolutionary Federation, the so-called Dashnagtzoutune*, (Boston: Baikar Press, 1934), p. 21.

[7] Dikran Kaligian, *Armenian Organization and Ideology under the Ottoman Rule 1908-1914*, (New Jersey: Transaction Publishers, 2009).

[8] Justin McCarthy et al., *The Armenian Rebellion at Van*, (Salt Lake City: University of Utah Press, 2006), pp. 130-176.

[9] Michael A. Reynolds, Ottoman-Russian Struggle for Eastern Anatolia and the Caucasus, 1909-1918, (Princeton University, 2003), unpublished PhD Dissertation, p. 206, fn.30.

[10] FO 195/2375, Molyneux-Seel to Lowther, Van, October 9, 1911

[11] FO 195/2375, Molyneux-Seel to Lowther, Van, October 9, 1911

[12] FO 195/2949, Molyneux-Seel to Lowther, Van, February 17, 1913.

[13] Mihail Bashanov, *Türkiyede Ermeni Meselesi (Rus Genel Kurmay Başkanlığı Belgeleri)*, (Ankara: TTK, 2013), p. 201, 221: Russian Vice-Consul in Van to the Headquarters of the Caucasus Military Region, 15 January, 1911. Russian Vice-Consul in Van to the Headquarters of the Caucasus Military Region, 10 April 1912.

[14] Papazian, *Patriotism Perverted*, p.67.

About the Author :

To cite this article: AVİM, . 2026. "DASHNAK FEDAIS: HEROES OR CALAMITIES OF THE ARMENIAN PEOPLE?." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Analysis No.2019 / 29. December 20. Accessed

November 10, 2026. https://mail.avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/DASHNAK-FEDAIS-HEROES-OR-CALAMITIES-OF-THE-ARMENIAN-PEOPLE

Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 Çankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE
Tel: +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • **Fax**: +90 (312) 438 50 26
@avimorgtr
https://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi
E-Mail: info@avim.org.tr
http://avim.org.tr

© 2009-2025 Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) All Rights Reserved